

# NOTES ON USING THE BECKER & WOLFF MODEL FOR XSPEC

CARLO FERRIGNO

This package is now under version control at  
<https://gitlab.astro.unige.ch/ferrigno/bwmodel>.

This cookbook describes the usage of the `bwyc1` (Becker & Wolff) model, whose application details are reported in C. Ferrigno, P. A. Becker, A. Segreto, T. Mineo & A. Santangelo 2009, *A&A*, 498, 825 *Study of the accreting pulsar 4U 0115+63 using a bulk and thermal Comptonization model*. The original model is described in P. A. Becker & M. Wolff 2007, *ApJ* 654, 435B *Thermal and Bulk Comptonization in Accretion-powered X-Ray Pulsars*.

The `bwyc1` model is part of the standard `Xspec` distribution since version 12.11 (March 2020) and it exploits some special function implementation from the GSL library, which is used in the `Xspec` distribution.

## 1. USAGE GUIDELINES

The `bwyc1` model has a large set of parameters and not all of them can be constrained in the fit and are shown in Table 1. We suggest here a procedure to use the model.

It is mandatory to:

- (1) freeze the model normalization to one;
- (2) set the source distance in kpc;
- (3) set and freeze the neutron star parameters (default values are a good choice).
- (4) select which source terms should be computed.

The computation of the black-body source term is time consuming, because it involves the numerical solution of an integral. Since the contribution of this component is generally negligible, we strongly suggest to set the parameter `BBnorm` to zero and then to fix it to one for the final runs. The parameters `FFnorm` and `CYCnorm` should be fixed to one.<sup>1</sup>

The mass accretion rate  $\dot{m}$  is strongly degenerate with the accretion column radius and the parameter  $\xi$ : it is therefore advisable to fix  $\dot{m}$  to a suitable value, which can be derived by equating the X-ray luminosity to the accretion luminosity or a fraction of it. For source in which the magnetic field is well above the plasma temperature and the contribution by the cyclotron emission term is minor, it is suggested to link the magnetic field of the continuum model to the one derived by the cyclotron scattering absorption feature(s).

---

*Date:* November 25, 2022.

<sup>1</sup>In principle, it is possible to use untested versions of the model with the `CYCnorm` forced to negative values to mimic an emission around the sonic point.

TABLE 1. Model parameters.

$R_{\text{NS}}$	Neutron star radius in km (to be fixed)
$M_{\text{NS}}$	Neutron star mass in $M_{\odot}$ (to be fixed)
$\xi$	a parameter linked to the photon escape time (order of some unities)
$\delta$	the ratio between bulk and thermal Comptonization importances
$B$	magnetic field in units of $10^{12}$ Gauss
$\dot{M}$	Mass accretion rate in units of $10^{17} \text{ g s}^{-1}$
$T_e$	Electron temperature in units of keV
$r_0$	column radius in units of m
$D$	source distance in units of kpc (to be fixed)
BBnorm	Normalization of the Black body seed photon component (fix it to zero at first)
CYCnorm	Normalization of the Cyclotron emission seed photon component (fix it to one)
FFnorm	Normalization of the Breemstrahlung emission seed photon component (fix it to one)

For particular combinations of the parameters, the special functions used in the GSL libraries do not provide a finite value and a “Not a number” (NaN) is returned to Xspec. We found that the following parameter constraints avoid most of NaN occurrences:

- $\xi\delta < 63.7$ ,
- $\xi, \delta < \sim 20$ ,
- $\xi, \delta > \sim 0.01$ ,
- $\delta > \sim 0.03$  for  $\xi > \sim 5$ ,
- $T_e > 1.3 \text{ keV}$ .

Finally, large values of  $r_0 > 1000 \text{ km}$  should be avoided. When a NaN is returned the program prints out the parameter values for which this occurred and the constraints can be refined.

It is important to limit the parameter ranges in a customary way and maybe tune the mass accretion rate to a value which keeps these parameters in the range suggested by physical considerations. We notice that equaling the accretion and the X-ray luminosities is not granted to yield meaningful results for all sources.

We found that it is possible to define a derived model as:

```
mdefine newbw bwcycl(Radius,Mass,csi,csiDel/(csi+10.85)**1.63,
B,Mdot,Te,r0,D,BBnorm,CYCnorm,FFnorm).
```

in the Xspec prompt or:

```
xspec.AllModels.mdefine('newbw bwcycl(Radius,Mass,csi,
csiDel/(csi+10.85)**1.63,B,Mdot,Te,r0,D,BBnorm,CYCnorm,FFnorm)') in pyXspec,
```

with the parameter `csiDel` limited between  $\sim 10^{-4}$  and  $\sim 10^3$  and  $\xi$  from 0.01 to 20. However, for  $\xi > \sim 5$ , the lower limit of `csiDel` should be increased to about 3.

This complex setting could permit a safe exploration of the parameter space, while avoiding most of NaN in the model computation.

## CONTACTS

For any problem and suggestions, please contact  
Carlo Ferrigno email: [carlo.ferrigno@unige.ch](mailto:carlo.ferrigno@unige.ch)